

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,361	03/05/2002	Yukiyoshi Watanabe	Mo-6877/NIT-364	8630
34469	7590 04/11/2003			
	OPSCIENCE LP		EXAMI	NER
100 BAYER PITTSBURG	ROAD H, PA 15205		GERSTL, ROBERT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/030,361	WATANABE ET AL.
Office .	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Robert Gerstl	1626
The MAILII Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply s - If NO period for reply in - Failure to reply within the - Any reply received by the	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. y be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. pecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl s specified above, the maximum statutory period he set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing ustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a. cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
	e to communication(s) filed on <u>05 l</u>	March 2002	
2a)☐ This action		nis action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this a	application is in condition for allowated application is in condition for allowated and in the practice under	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
<u> </u>	8 and 10 is/are pending in the app	lication	
	pove claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is		an nom consideration.	
	4,6-8 and 10 is/are rejected.		
	is/are objected to.		
	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement	
Application Papers	are subject to restriction and/o	· election requirement.	
9)☐ The specifica	ition is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.
	ay not request that any objection to the	•	
	d drawing correction filed on		
	corrected drawings are required in rep		•
12) The oath or d	eclaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledg	ment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□	Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , ,
1. ☐ Certifi	ed copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	ed copies of the priority documents		on No
3.⊠ Copie: ap	s of the certified copies of the prior plication from the International Bu ned detailed Office action for a list	ity documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgm	ent is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).
	slation of the foreign language pro ent is made of a claim for domesti		
Notice of References Notice of Draftspersor Information Disclosure	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Application/Control Number: 10/030,361 Page 2
Art Unit: 1626

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turnbull in view of Cullen. The difference between the instant nematocide and the primary reference (see Vii.24-26, 46) is difluoro v. trifluoro. Cullen teaches analogous nematocides which generically include the difluoro compounds of

Application/Control Number: 10/030,361

Art Unit: 1626

Page 3

Turnbull and in ex. 16 exemplifies a trifluoro compound. The reference teaches the equivalence of di and tri fluoro compounds by reciting the Z moiety as either H (as in Turnbull) or F (as in the instant claims). It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to prepare the equivalent trifluoro compounds analogous to the cited examples to obtain the claimed compounds. Applicant have not demonstrated any unexpected properties of the instant compounds.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Gerstl whose telephone number is 703 308-4531. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (7-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe McKane can be reached on 703 308-4537. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308-4426 for regular communications and 703 308-4426 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

Robert Gerstl Primary Examiner Art Unit 1626

RG April 8, 2003